

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/584,083	06/22/2006	Toshio Ogawa	128469	8762	
	7590 01/23/2008		EXAMINER		
	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850		ROSENAU, D	ROSENAU, DEREK JOHN	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/23/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		18	# S
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
•	10/584,083	OGAWA, TOSHIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Derek J. Rosenau	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (a), cause the application to become AE	CATION. pply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Jo 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex) \square accepted or b) \square objed drawing(s) be held in abeyartion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/21/2006</u> .	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application —·	

10/584,083 Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the ... adhesive layer". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy et al. (US 20040183408) in view of Ogawa et al. (US 20030178914).
- 4. With respect to claim 1, Levy et al. discloses a piezoelectric device (Fig 4) formed by sticking to a metal plate (item 56) a single crystal plate (item 25) which is made of a Pb(Zn_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ PbTiO₃ solid solution or a Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃ PbTiO₃ solid solution single crystal (Paragraph 27).

Levy et al. does not disclose expressly that the solid solution single crystal is brought into a mono-domain in the thickness direction and in the plate surface to impart a giant-lateral-effect piezoelectric characteristic thereto, while the mono-domain is kept as it is.

Ogawa et al. teaches a piezoelectric device in which the PMNT or PZNT solid solution single crystal piezoelectric materials can be brought into a mono-domain in the thickness direction and in the plate surface (Fig 9) to impart a giant-lateral-effect

10/584,083 Art Unit: 2834

piezoelectric characteristic thereto (Paragraph 42), while the mono-domain is kept as it is.

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the mono-domain piezoelectric material of Ogawa et al. with the piezoelectric device of Levy et al. for the benefit of maximizing the electromechanical coupling coefficient (Paragraph 42 of Ogawa et al.).

- 5. With respect to claim 3, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1. The claim language "wherein the single crystal plate is a single crystal plate whose piezoelectric characteristic is not deteriorated from a value immediately after polarization with the lapse of time" is merely a property of the claimed invention that does not, by itself, result in additional structure. Therefore, as the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. disclose each of the claimed structural elements, the combination would inherently have the same properties.
- 6. With respect to claim 4, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1. Levy et al. discloses that the piezoelectric device is formed as a piezoelectric unimorph (paragraph 68). Ogawa et al. discloses that the piezoelectric device has a bending-vibration-mode electromechanical coupling coefficient not smaller than 50% (Paragraph 42).
- 7. With respect to claim 6, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1. The claim language "wherein six faces of the mono-domain single crystal plate are used as a face which prevents domain wall

10/584,083 Art Unit: 2834

movement" is merely functional language and properties of the claimed invention, and this language does not, by itself, result in additional structure. Therefore, as the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses each of the claimed structural elements, the combination would inherently be capable of the same functions and would have the same properties.

- 8. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy et al. in view of Ogawa et al. and Hayashi et al. (US 5233256).
- 9. With respect to claim 2, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1.

Neither Levy et al. nor Ogawa et al. discloses expressly that the single crystal plate and the metal plate are repeatedly laminated with each other.

Hayashi et al. teaches a piezoelectric device in which piezoelectric plates and metal plates are repeatedly laminated with each other (Figs 5, 6, 9, 10. 11, and 14-23).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the laminated-arrangement of Hayashi et al. with the piezoelectric device of Levy et al. as modified by Ogawa et al. for the benefit of being able to generate larger displacements (column 2, lines 10-15 of Hayashi et al.).

10. With respect to claim 5, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1. Ogawa et al. discloses that the piezoelectric device has a bending-vibration-mode electromechanical coupling coefficient not smaller than 60% (Paragraph 42).

Application/Control Number:

10/584,083 Art Unit: 2834

Neither Levy et al. nor Ogawa et al. discloses expressly that the piezoelectric device is formed as a bimorph.

Hayashi et al. teaches a piezoelectric device formed as a bimorph (column2, lines 10-15).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the bimorph arrangement of Hayashi et al. with the piezoelectric device of Levy et al. as modified by Ogawa et al. for the benefit of being able to generate larger displacements (column 2, lines 10-15 of Hayashi et al.).

- 11. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levy et al. in view of Ogawa et al. and Clingman et al. (US 6994762).
- 12. With respect to claim 7, the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses the piezoelectric device according to claim 1. The claim language "wherein the metal plate and adhesive layer that sticks the single crystal plate are used as a member which prevents domain wall movement" is merely functional language and properties of the claimed invention, and this language does not, by itself, result in additional structure. Therefore, as the combination of Levy et al. and Ogawa et al. discloses each of the claimed structural elements, the combination would inherently be capable of the same functions and would have the same properties.

Neither Levy et al. nor Ogawa et al. discloses expressly an adhesive layer that sticks the metal plate and the single crystal plate.

Application/Control Number:

10/584,083

Art Unit: 2834

Clingman et al. teaches a piezoelectric device in which an adhesive layer that sticks the metal plate and piezoelectric plate (column 2, line 61 through column 3, line 15).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the adhesive layer of Clingman et al. with the piezoelectric device of Levy et al. as modified by Ogawa et al. for the benefit of better securing the metal plate and single crystal plate.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rosemann et al. (US 20050023462) discloses a piezoelectric device formed with a mono-domain in the thickness direction and in the plate surface.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek J. Rosenau whose telephone number is 571-272-8932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/584,083 Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Derek J Rosenau Examiner Art Unit 2834

DJR 1/14/2008

